

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATĘ	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/743,938	03/28/2001	Ralf Fuchs	20981.010 2209	
7590 10/02/2003			EXAMINER	
Dalbert U Shefte			LAMB, BRENDA A	
Kennedy Coving	gton Lobdell & Hickman			
Bank of America Corporate Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
100 North Tryon Street Suite 4200			1734	
Charlotte, NC 28202-4006			DATE MAILED: 10/02/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A>-				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•				
Advisory Action	09/743,938	FUCHS ET AL.					
	Examin r	Art Unit					
	Brenda A Lamb	1734					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	correspond nce add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 25 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of AppelExamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the control of	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	ply to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The danave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened. 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened.	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.5 sion and the corresponding amount of the	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriat e fee. The appropriate ex	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under				
b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	onths after the mailing date of the final rejo	ection, even if timely filed	, may reduce any				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or	simplifying the				
(d) M they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment				
☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8.</u> .							
					Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
<ul><li>8.  The proposed drawing correction filed on is</li><li>9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme</li></ul>							

Brenda A Lamb Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1734

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

## Continuation Sh et (PTO-303) 09/743,938



Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The recitation in claim 1 that the second roller is disposed for travel of the yarn sheet therearound through the pre-wetting liquor presents a new issues in that applicant has never b fore recited such a limitation in claim 1 and such a newly claimed limitation in claim 1 would requir additional considerations and/or searches. Claims 9-16 presents a new issues ince they are directed a device for sizing a yarn sheet comprised of a draw-in unit comprising a set of rollers consisting essentially of three rollers since applicant has never before claimed that the draw-in unit is comprised of a set of rollers consisting essentially of three rollers. The recitation in claim 9 of newly presented claims 9-16 that the second roller is disposed for travel of the yarn sheet therearound through the pre-wetting liquor presents a new issue since applicant has never before recited such a limitation in combination with a draw-in unit having a set of rollers consisting essentially of three rollers